

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIANOTICE OF DOCUMENT DISCREPANCIES**FILED**

JUN 27 2008

CLERK, U.S. DISTRICT COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
BY *[Signature]*
Clerk's Office File Stamp DEPUTY

TO: U. S. DISTRICT JUDGE / U. S. MAGISTRATE JUDGE Judge John A. Houston
 FROM: J. Hinkle Deputy Clerk RECEIVED DATE: 6/23/08
 CASE NO.: 08cv859 DOCUMENT FILED BY: Alvin Hennington, Jr
 CASE TITLE: Hennington v US Customs and Border Protection
 DOCUMENT ENTITLED: Ex Parte Application

Upon the submission of the attached document(s), the following discrepancies are noted:

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<i>Local Rule</i>	<i>Discrepancy</i>
<input type="checkbox"/>	5.1	Missing time and date on motion and/or supporting documentation
<input type="checkbox"/>	5.3	Document illegible or submitted on thermal facsimile paper
<input type="checkbox"/>	5.4	Document not filed electronically. Notice of Noncompliance already issued.
<input type="checkbox"/>	7.1 or 47.1	Date noticed for hearing not in compliance with rules/Document(s) are not timely
<input type="checkbox"/>	7.1 or 47.1	Lacking memorandum of points and authorities in support as a separate document
<input type="checkbox"/>	7.1 or 47.1	Briefs or memoranda exceed length restrictions
<input type="checkbox"/>	7.1	Missing table of contents
<input type="checkbox"/>	15.1	Amended pleading not complete in itself
<input type="checkbox"/>	30.1	Depositions not accepted absent a court order
<input type="checkbox"/>		Supplemental documents require court order
<input type="checkbox"/>		Default Judgment in sum certain includes calculated interest
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		<u>OTHER: Not in pleading format. No original signature.</u> <i>Application does not request any action from the court</i>

Date forwarded: 6/24/08ORDER OF THE JUDGE / MAGISTRATE JUDGE**IT IS HEREBY ORDERED:**

The document is to be filed nunc pro tunc to date received.

The document is NOT to be filed, but instead REJECTED. and it is ORDERED that the Clerk serve a copy of this order on all parties.

Rejected document to be returned to pro se or inmate? Yes. Court Copy retained by chambers

Counsel is advised that any further failure to comply with the Local Rules may lead to penalties pursuant to Local Rule 83.1

CHAMBERS OF: HoustonBy: JWDated: 6/25/08

cc: All Parties

REJECTED

MC-025

1 SHORT TITLE: Alvin Hennington JR.
2 VS. U.S. Customs and
3 F.B.I.

CASE NUMBER:

08CV859JLSBLM

ATTACHMENT (Number): _____

Page _____ of _____

(This Attachment may be used with any Judicial Council form.)

(Add pages as required)

4 United States District Court
5
6 Southern District of California
78 Ex-Parte Application
910
11 To The Honorable
1213 Judge John A. Houston
14
1516
17 Urgent Request
18
1920
21
22
23
24
25
26
27 (If the item that this Attachment concerns is made under penalty of perjury, all statements in this Attachment are made under
penalty of perjury.)